



Texas Tech University

The Faculty Senate
3-G Holden Hall
Lubbock, Texas 79409 / (806) 742-3656

May 3, 1985

TO: Members of the Faculty Senate

FROM: Evelyn Davis, President

RE: Agenda for meeting #70, May 8, 1985

The Faculty Senate will meet on Wednesday, May 8, 1985, at 3:30 p.m. in the Senate Room of the University Center. The agenda is as follows:

- I. Introduction of guests
- II. Consideration of the minutes of the April 10, 1985 meeting
- III. Recognition of new Faculty Senate members
- IV. Committee Reports
 - A. Faculty Senate Academic Programs Committee - Dixon (see attachment)
 - B. Faculty Senate Study Committee D - Owens (attachment)
 - C. ad hoc Committee on University Status and Progress - Sullivan
 - D. ad hoc Committee on Campus and Community Relations - Collins (see attachment)
 - E. ad hoc Committee for Developing Financial Exigency Policy and Procedures - Aycock
 - F. Faculty Senate Tenure and Privilege Committee - Collins (see attachment)
 - G. Committee on Committees - Welton (see attachments)
 - H. Executive Committee - Davis
- V. Old Business
 - Cost and benefits for financing the Texas Tech University system - Payne
- VI. New Business
 - Resolution to declare University Daily cartoonist Marla Erwin an honorary faculty member - Sullivan (see attachment)

May 3, 1985

To: The Faculty Senate

From: The Committee on Committees

Re: Nominations to University councils and committees.

These nominations are submitted within the following guidelines: when the number of vacancies is three or less, the number of nominees is equal to the number of vacancies plus one; when the number of vacancies exceeds three, the number of nominees is equal to the number of vacancies plus two.

- Athletic Council (2): Carl Andersen, Home Economics
Monty Strauss, Arts and Sciences
Margaret Wilson, Arts and Sciences
- Honors and Awards (2): David Leon Higdon, Arts and Sciences
Gail House, Home Economics
W. Pennington Vann, Engineering
- Academic Affairs Information Systems: (2) Nominees must be from Business
Admin. and Law: Ralph Bravoco, Business Administration
John Krahmer, Law
Paul Randolph, Business Administration
- Admissions and Retention (3): Carolyn Rude, Arts and Sciences
Carlton Land, Engineering
Gwendolyn Sorell, Home Economics
G. Lakhani, Engineering
- Artists and Speakers (3): Donald Bailey, Arts and Sciences
Shirley Koeller, Education
Daniel Nathan, Arts and Sciences
Bernard Davidson, Home Economics
- Minority Affairs (3): M.A.K. Lhodi, Arts and Sciences
Samina Khan, Home Economics
Daniel Nathan, Arts & Sciences
Murray Coulter, Arts & Sciences
- Library Committee (4): Jean Pearson Scott, Home Economics
Ralph H. Ramsey, Engineering
Gary Strathearn, Arts and Sciences
Ruth M. Roger, Arts & Sciences
Herman Garcia, Education
- Parking Violations Appeals and Parking Advisory (2):
Richard Zartman, Agriculture
Roger Schaefer, Arts & Sciences
- Patent and Copyright (2): Warren Wray, Engineering
A. K. Hennessey, Engineering
Harry Parker, Engineering

(over)

Nominations, cont.

Page 2

Protection of Human Subjects (1): June Chiodo, Arts and Sciences
Mike Bobo, Arts and Sciences

Radiation and Laser Safety (3): Hadley Edgar, Law
Barbara Stoecker, Home Economics
M. A. K. Lodhi, Arts and Sciences

Student Financial Aids/Scholarship (1): Richard P. McGlynn, Arts and Sciences
Neven P. Lamb, Arts & Sciences

Student Publications (2): Lane Anderson, Business Administration
Herbert Carper, Engineering
Nina Ronshausen, Education

University Discipline (2) + 2 alternates:
James D. Howze, Arts and Sciences
Patrick Dunne, Business Administration
Robert Gades, Education
Alternates: Dennis Cogan and Ruth Morrow, Arts & Sciences

University Discipline Appeals (2)+ 2 alternates:
Danny Mason, Arts and Sciences
James Heird, Agriculture
John Logan, Education

Alternates: Jeff Stuyt, Arts & Sciences and Robert Marlett, Agriculture

University Safety (1): Cylian Law, Home Economics
Len Wwiner, Engineering

Warm-blooded Animal (1): Don Oberleas, Home Economics
John McGlone, Agricultural Science

Benefits and Retirement (3): Jimmie Olson, Engineering
Curt Hamre, Arts and Sciences
James Mertes, Agriculture
Stanley Fowler, Home Economics

Campus Security and Emergency (2): Norman Hopper, Agricultural Sciences
Roslyn Simowitz, Arts & Sciences
Robert Marlet, Agriculture

Code of Student Affairs (2): Murray Coulter, Arts and Sciences
Shelby Hildebrand, Arts and Sciences
Charles Geer, Education
Cynthia Lyle, Business Administration

Convocations (3): Shelley Harp, Home Economics
Walter Cartwright, Arts & Sciences
Alice Denham, Education

Agenda Item IV., G

Nominations, cont.

Page 3

Energy Conservation (1): Timothy Hansen, Agriculture
Milton Smith, Engineering

Faculty Senate Committee on Elections (1): Shelby Hildebrant, Arts and Sciences

International Education Committee (3): Vijendra Agarwal, Arts and Sciences
Key Ray Chong, Arts and Sciences
Carolyn Ater, Home Economics
Bert Valencia, Business Administration

FACULTY SENATE STANDING STUDY COMMITTEES

1985-1986

ACADEMIC PROGRAMS COMMITTEE

Robert E. Carlile, College of Engineering
*Paul Dixon, College of Education
William Hartwell, College of Arts & Sciences
Samina Khan, College of Home Economics
Janet R. Minifie, College of Business Administration
George Tereshkovich, College of Agriculture

BUDGET STUDY COMMITTEE

John Blair, College of Business Administration
Wayne Ford, College of Arts & Sciences
James A. Goss, College of Arts & Sciences
Tom McLaughlin, College of Arts & Sciences
Hong Y. Lee, College of Agriculture
Milton Smith, College of Engineering
*Julia Whitsitt, College of Arts & Sciences

FACULTY STATUS & WELFARE COMMITTEE

James Brink, College of Arts & Sciences
James Lawrence, College of Engineering
**Louise Luchsinger, College of Business Administration
*Benjamin Newcomb, College of Arts & Sciences
Donald Rude, College of Arts & Sciences
Jean Pearson Scott, College of Home Economics
Henry Shine, College of Arts & Sciences
Jerry Stockton, College of Agriculture

STUDY COMMITTEE A

*Sydney Cravens, College of Arts & Sciences
Evans Curry, College of Arts & Sciences
Robert Gades, College of Education
Jack Gipson, College of Agriculture
Uzi Mann, College of Engineering
Neale Pearson, College of Arts & Sciences
Connie Steele, College of Home Economics

STUDY COMMITTEE B

Wendell Aycock, College of Arts & Sciences
Murray Havens, College of Arts & Sciences
David Leon Higdon, College of Arts & Sciences
Gerald Skoog, College of Education
*William Sparkman, College of Education
Clarence E. Teske, College of Engineering
Carlton Whitehead, College of Business Administration

Faculty Senate Standing Study Committees continued.....

STUDY COMMITTEE C

*John H. Burnett, College of Arts & Sciences
Kenneth Davis, College of Arts & Sciences
Robert Freeman, College of Business Administration
Marvin Platten, College of Education
Monty Strauss, College of Arts & Sciences
Jeremy Wicker, School of Law
Henry A. Wright, College of Agriculture

STUDY COMMITTEE D

Marvin Dvoracek, College of Engineering
Jim Eissinger, School of Law
Harley Oberhelman, College of Arts & Sciences
*Mary Owens, College of Arts & Sciences
Roland Smith, College of Arts & Sciences
C.V.G. Vallabhan, College of Engineering
Peggy Williams, College of Arts & Sciences

Samina Khan, Home Economics, Faculty Senate representative on
the Affirmative Actions Committee

* member designated as convener of the committee
** nominee is not a member of the Faculty Senate

Agenda item VI.

Whereas, Marla Erwin, through her cartoon strip Visitor's Pass, has both enlightened and amused the faculty of Texas Tech University,

Resolved, that the Texas Tech University Faculty Senate declare Marla Erwin an honorary member of the Texas Tech University faculty.

Agenda Item IV., A.

Report of Academic Programs Committee

to

The Faculty Senate

The Academic Programs Committee met on April 30, 1985 to discuss issues raised at the April 10, 1985 Faculty Senate meeting regarding the previous report of the Academic Programs Committee.

The following recommendations are made regarding these issues:

1. Student absence records should be incorporated in the student grade report.
2. There should be no changes in the grade report deadline after the official publication of the grade report deadlines.
3. The incorporation of a "dead day" or "dead days" in the University Calendar should be referred to the Student Association to result in a recommendation to the Academic Programs Committee in September/October of 1985.
4. The University Calendar in preparation should be submitted to the Academic Programs Committee for review.
5. There should be a deadline for student registration. No exceptions should be made to this deadline.

Agenda Item IV., B

Recommendations of Committee D to the Faculty Senate

May 2, 1985

Re: Advising, Scheduling and Registering of Students

Since all faculty have had an opportunity to work under the computerized registration since the fall of 1983, Committee D recommends:

- (1) That the faculty be surveyed concerning the pros and cons of the registration system in the fall of 1985.
- (2) That such a survey attempt to seek suggestions to improve the advising of students, the scheduling of classes and the registering of students.
- (3) That this survey be tallied, summarized and the results presented to the Faculty Senate and forwarded to the appropriate administrative office.
- (4) That the survey be constructed by Committee D and administered through the Office of Vice President for Academic Affairs and Research.

2. A probationary faculty member, who because of insufficient achievement or other justifiable reasons, has the likely prospect of being given a terminal contract prior to the end of the usual probationary period, should be given early, specific notice of that fact. It is advisable for the administrator in charge to develop a plan of improvement which, if achieved, would reasonably result in renewal of the continuing contract for that faculty member. Such notice and plan in writing should be given to the faculty member.

3. It is desirable for an administrator and a faculty member to prepare and to retain, for personal use, memoranda of conversations concerning the latter's status.

MOVED that the Faculty Senate endorse the committee's three recommendations and forward them to the President of Texas Tech University with its recommendations that they be implemented and that it send a copy to the Vice President for Academic Affairs and Research for his information.

THE FACULTY SENATE TENURE AND PRIVILEGE COMMITTEE
YEAR-END REPORT

The Faculty Senate Tenure and Privilege Committee was created by the Faculty Senate in the fall of 1984. Its description and charge are as follows:

The Faculty Senate Tenure and Privilege Committee will be a standing committee of the Faculty Senate. It will be composed of five tenured members, drawn from the faculty at large, all of whom are Associate Professors or Professors. One member shall be elected Chairperson by the Committee.

CHARGE:

The Faculty Senate Tenure and Privilege Committee shall be available to assist the Faculty Senate, faculty, and administration by performing the following duties:

- (1) Receive complaints from any Texas Tech faculty member on alleged violations of academic freedom, academic due process, and tenure procedures;
- (2) Investigate and document such complaints; and
- (3) Take such action as is considered appropriate.

All information received by the Committee will be held in confidence and released only by, or by permission of, the complainant.

SELECTION OF MEMBERS:

Members shall be elected by the Faculty Senate upon nomination by its Committee on Committees.

TERMS OF MEMBERSHIP:

Terms will be two-year staggered terms, with two members going off in odd-numbered years and three going off in even numbered years.

The following members were elected by the Senate in January: Joe A. Adamcik (Chemistry), Jacquelin Collins (History), James W. Graves (Agricultural Economics), Nina L. Ronshausen (Education). In April, the Senate elected a fifth member, Judith L. Fischer (Home Economics), and an alternate Wayne T. Ford (Mathematics). Collins was elected chairperson.

As a result of its activity this year, the Committee makes the following recommendations, which it would like to see considered and implemented by the faculty and administration of Texas Tech University:

1. All new faculty members should be involved in an orientation program, to include expectations of probationary faculty, established procedures of the college to which they are appointed, and the meaning of continuing contracts.

Texas Tech University

Faculty Senate ad hoc Campus and Community Relations Committee

Year-End Report, April 30, 1985

This committee was created by the Faculty Senate at its meeting on November 14, 1984. It was given the following charge:

to keep the faculty and community informed about important University issues. Among its duties shall be providing speakers for and arranging speaking engagements with civic, professional and trade organizations with interest in occurrences at Texas Tech.

The following people were appointed to the committee: Jacquelin Collins (History), Chair, Gary S. Elbow (Geography), Judith L. Fischer (Home & Family Life), William E. Sparkman (Education), Jerry D. Stockton (Agricultural Education), and Ashton G. Thornhill (Mass Communications).

The committee met several times during December through February. Its relatively modest achievements included the following: (1) Negotiations with Bee Zeeck, Director of University News & Publications, regarding Insight's coverage of Faculty Senate meetings. This led to the Faculty Senate's approval that its meetings be reported in Insight. In order that Insight's report be the more timely, the publication schedule of Insight was altered. (2) Negotiations between Bee Zeeck and the Senate regarding the revival of the University Speakers' Bureau. The Senate approved this and the University News and Publications is going forward with the project. A brochure listing the 24 speakers who have volunteered will be printed and distributed in the near future. There are plans for a second, hopefully expanded, brochure next year. (3) Negotiations with University News and Publications regarding the publishing in Insight of the Senate's response to the Board of Regents statement of October 18, 1984, proved to be fruitless, certainly in part due to the Senate's delay in getting its initial request to University News and Publications. The Senate's response was in the end published in the February issue of Texas Tech Academe by the Texas Tech Chapter of the AAUP.

A general conclusion of the committee's deliberations was that the faculty of Texas Tech should strive to be a more effective agent in presenting the University to the public. In this way, it is hoped, the public will come better to understand the value of what professors do and to appreciate the need for academic freedom and tenure. The committee lamented the failure of the University administration to take the lead in this task.